UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

(Rule 14a-101)

INFORMATION REQUIRED IN PROXY STATEMENT

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

(Amendment No.)

Filed b	by the Registrant o						
Filed b	by a Party other than the Registrant x						
Check	the appropriate box:						
0	Preliminary Proxy Statement						
	Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule14a-6(e)(2))						
	Definitive Proxy Statement						
X	Definitive Additional Materials						
0	Soliciting Material Under Rule 14a-12						
	CRACKER BARREL OLD COUNTRY STORE, INC. (Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter) BIGLARI HOLDINGS INC. BIGLARI CAPITAL CORP. THE LION FUND, L.P. STEAK N SHAKE OPERATIONS, INC. SARDAR BIGLARI PHILIP L. COOLEY						
_	(Name of Persons(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if Other Than the Registrant)						
Payme	ent of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):						
X	No fee required.						
	Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.						
	1						

(1)	Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:					
(2)	Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:					
	(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):					
(4)	Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:					
(5)	Total fee paid:					
	Fee paid previously with preliminary materials:					
□ previo	Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid ously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the form or schedule and the date of its filing.					
(1)	Amount previously paid:					
(2)	Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:					
(3)	Filing Party:					
(4)	Date Filed:					
	2					

Item 1: On October 31, 2012 Biglari Holdings Inc. ('Biglari Holdings'') issued the following press release and delivered such press release to Institutional Shareholder Services ("ISS") in supplement to Biglari Holdings' presentation materials provided to ISS on October 25, 2012:

BIGLARI HOLDINGS BELIEVES CRACKER BARREL OLD COUNTRY STORE, INC.'S PURPORTED FINANCIAL RESULTS DISTORT ECONOMIC REALITY

SAN ANTONIO, TX — October 31, 2012 — Biglari Holdings Inc. (NYSE: BH) believes that investor presentation materials filed by Cracker Barrel Old Country Store, Inc. (NASDAQ: CBRL) with the Securities and Exchange Commission portray a troubling pattern of artificially inflating financial results. Biglari Holdings urges shareholders to consider the following data and ask themselves whether they can trust what the Board and management are saying about Cracker Barrel's financial performance:

- · In calculating its EBITDAR (earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, amortization, and rent) margin, Cracker Barrel aggregated its billboard and restaurant operating leases in 2012 (deviating from its past practice of separately reporting these expenses), effectively characterizing nearly half of its advertising budget as rent expense and, thereby, we believe erroneously inflating its 2012 EBITDAR margin. EBITDAR should not include billboard rentals because they are part of advertising expenses. We believe that when billboard advertising expenditures are segregated from restaurant rent expenses, Cracker Barrel generated an EBITDAR margin of 11.3% in 2012, as opposed to the 12.8% margin claimed by Cracker Barrel in its investor presentation. (11.3% is the lowest of the ISS peer group.) Of course, Cracker Barrel's Board and management have full access to all the information required to accurately determine its EBITDAR margin, and we call upon them to disclose fully to shareholders the relevant data and their method of calculation.
- · Cracker Barrel claims its return on investment in fiscal 2011 for its 116 stores opened between 2004 and 2009 was 16.2%. However, despite referencing as an authority Dr. Aswath Damodaran's calculation on return analyses, Cracker Barrel failed to follow Dr. Damodaran's definitive calculations by excluding general and administrative, interest and tax expenses as "not material to an investor's understanding of the results for these stores or the Company's decision-making in determining to build new stores...." Dr. Damodaran was contacted by the *Express-News* to "get his take on which was the best measure." In an article appearing on October 25, 2012, Dr. Damodaran, who emphasized that he had no connection with either Cracker Barrel or Biglari Holdings, found Cracker Barrel's logic to be flawed:

"Damodaran, cautioning he had not reviewed the details of Biglari's letter, said in an email: 'If as Mr. Biglari claims, Cracker Barrel has excluded depreciation, G&A and taxes to come up with a return on capital, they are wrong.

'In fact, they are then using a ... (calculation) that actually does not measure how well you are doing as a business,' Damodaran said."

Under the Board's erroneous methodology, a 16.2% return is calculated, whereas the more appropriate measure that factors in, not ignores material and relevant expenses, produces a paltry return of 3.7%, clearly below Cracker Barrel's cost of capital.

· Cracker Barrel's calculations of its return on invested capital (ROIC) are also fraught with error. Cracker Barrel's ROIC numbers appear better than actuality because Cracker Barrel does not follow Dr. Damodaran's formula when it excludes many expenses, modifying both net operating profit after tax (to boost the numerator) as well as adjusting invested capital (to lower the denominator). We challenge Cracker Barrel to disclose its computation of ROIC for the last seven years to track exactly how Cracker Barrel has improved its numbers in spite of its poor investments. The data, we are certain, would show a lack of consistency as well as artificial enlargement of its results.

Biglari Holdings believes that when the full facts are presented, the truth about Cracker Barrel's financial performance stands in stark contrast to the Board's and management's incomplete and inaccurate presentations to shareholders. We urge Cracker Barrel shareholders to hold the Board accountable for what we clearly believe to be misleading financial results. Cracker Barrel shareholders should demand full and transparent disclosure, critical to their ability to make an informed decision.

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

BIGLARI HOLDINGS BELIEVES CRACKER BARREL OLD COUNTRY STORE, INC.'S PURPORTED FINANCIAL RESULTS DISTORT ECONOMIC REALITY

SAN ANTONIO, TX — October 31, 2012 — Biglari Holdings Inc. (NYSE: BH) believes that investor presentation materials filed by Cracker Barrel Old Country Store, Inc. (NASDAQ: CBRL) with the Securities and Exchange Commission portray a troubling pattern of artificially inflating financial results. Biglari Holdings urges shareholders to consider the following data and ask themselves whether they can trust what the Board and management are saying about Cracker Barrel's financial performance:

- In calculating its EBITDAR (earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, amortization, and rent) margin, Cracker Barrel aggregated its billboard and restaurant operating leases in 2012 (deviating from its past practice of separately reporting these expenses), effectively characterizing nearly half of its advertising budget as rent expense and, thereby, we believe erroneously inflating its 2012 EBITDAR margin. EBITDAR should not include billboard rentals because they are part of advertising expenses. We believe that when billboard advertising expenditures are segregated from restaurant rent expenses, Cracker Barrel generated an EBITDAR margin of 11.3% in 2012, as opposed to the 12.8% margin claimed by Cracker Barrel in its investor presentation. (11.3% is the lowest of the ISS peer group.) Of course, Cracker Barrel's Board and management have full access to all the information required to accurately determine its EBITDAR margin, and we call upon them to disclose fully to shareholders the relevant data and their method of calculation.
- Cracker Barrel claims its return on investment in fiscal 2011 for its 116 stores opened between 2004 and 2009 was 16.2%. However, despite referencing as an authority Dr. Aswath Damodaran's calculation on return analyses, Cracker Barrel failed to follow Dr. Damodaran's definitive calculations by excluding general and administrative, interest and tax expenses as "not material to an investor's understanding of the results for these stores or the Company's decision-making in determining to build new stores...." Dr. Damodaran was contacted by the Express-News to "get his take on which was the best measure." In an article appearing on October 25, 2012, Dr. Damodaran, who emphasized that he had no connection with either Cracker Barrel or Biglari Holdings, found Cracker Barrel's logic to be flawed:

"Damodaran, cautioning he had not reviewed the details of Biglari's letter, said in an email: 'If as Mr. Biglari claims, Cracker Barrel has excluded depreciation, G&A and taxes to come up with a return on capital, they are wrong.

'In fact, they are then using a ... (calculation) that actually does not measure how well you are doing as a business,' Damodaran said."

Under the Board's erroneous methodology, a 16.2% return is calculated, whereas the more appropriate measure that factors in, not ignores material and relevant expenses, produces a paltry return of 3.7%, clearly below Cracker Barrel's cost of capital.

Cracker Barrel's calculations of its return on invested capital (ROIC) are also fraught with error.
Cracker Barrel's ROIC numbers appear better than actuality because Cracker Barrel does not
follow Dr. Damodaran's formula when it excludes many expenses, modifying both net operating
profit after tax (to boost the numerator) as well as adjusting invested capital (to lower the
denominator). We challenge Cracker Barrel to disclose its computation of ROIC for the last
seven years to track exactly how Cracker Barrel has improved its numbers in spite of its poor
investments. The data, we are certain, would show a lack of consistency as well as artificial
enlargement of its results.

Biglari Holdings believes that when the full facts are presented, the truth about Cracker Barrel's financial performance stands in stark contrast to the Board's and management's incomplete and inaccurate presentations to shareholders. We urge Cracker Barrel shareholders to hold the Board accountable for what we clearly believe to be misleading financial results. Cracker Barrel shareholders should demand full and transparent disclosure, critical to their ability to make an informed decision.

ENHANCE

CRACKER BARREL



Press Releases

RELEASE DATE

October 9, 2012

2012

OCTOBER

Biglari Holdings Believes Cracker Barrel Old Country Store, October 31, 2012 Inc.'s Purported Financial Results Distort Economic Reality Damodaran Comments About Cracker Barrel's Return On October 31, 2012 Invested Capital (ROIC) Analysis Biglari Holdings' Clarification Of Cracker Barrel's Supplemental October 31, 2012 Investor Presentation Materials Biglari Holdings Responds To Cracker Barrel's Assertion That October 9, 2012

Misreports About Incoming Chairman James Bradford Were A "Misunderstanding"

Biglari Holdings Questions Cracker Barrel's Lack Of Urgency In Addressing Misrepresentations Of Bradford's Credentials

Biglari Holdings Sends Letter To Cracker Barrel's Board On October 8, 2012 Discovery Of Discrepancy In Professed Experience Of

SEPTEMBER

Designated Chairman James Bradford

Cracker Barrel Makes Faux "Offer" to Biglari Holdings September 5, 2012

APRIL

Sardar Biglari Issues Letter to Shareholders of Cracker Barrel April 19, 2012 Old Country Store, Inc.

2011

Cracker Barrel Director and Officer Questionnaire